IN THE CLAIMS:

Please amend the claims as follows:

1.   (Canceled)

2.   (Canceled)

3.   (Currently Amended)   A <u>computer-implemented</u> method for providing user-specific error analysis to identify as problem words any correctly spelled words of a document that are improperly used, the method comprising:

recording contents of the document as pre-edited contents;

<u>receiving user edits replacing</u> ~~allowing a user to replace~~ each problem word contained in the document with a respective replacement word;

<u>after receiving the user edits,</u> recording the contents of the document as post-edited contents;

comparing the pre-edited contents to the post-edited contents to identify the problem words and the replacement words; [[[and]]]

storing the user-replaced problem words and replacement words to a first data structure, wherein each user-replaced problem word is associated with the respective replacement word in an individual record of the first data structure<u>; and</u>

<u>determining and indicating one or more problem words in a second document utilizing the first data structure.</u>

4.   (Currently Amended)   The method of claim 3, wherein the steps of recording comprise separately storing the pre-edited contents and post-edited contents to a second data structure, wherein each record of the second data structure includes a pre-edited word field <u>containing pre-edited content</u>, a post-edited word field <u>containing corresponding post-edited content</u> and a changed indication field <u>containing an indicator indicating whether the pre-edited and the corresponding post-edited content are different.</u>

Page 2

5.   (Canceled)

6.   (Previously Presented)   The method of claim 4, further comprising assigning a priority value to each problem word.

7.   (Original)   The method of claim 6, wherein the priority value is determined according to a number of times a particular problem word is replaced by the user with the respective replacement word.

8.   (Previously Presented)   The method of claim 3, further comprising assigning a formatting definition to each problem word for use in identifying problem words on a display device.

9.   (Original)   The method of claim 8, wherein the formatting definition is selected from one of a color, a shading, a textual modification, an underline and any combination thereof.

10.   (Canceled)

11.   (Canceled)

12.   (Currently Amended)   A computer readable medium containing a software program which, when executed by a processor, causes the processor to perform an operation a method for providing user-specific error analysis to identify as problem words any correctly spelled words of a document that are improperly used, the operation method comprising:
    recording contents of the document as pre-edited contents;
    receiving user edits replacing allowing a user to replace each problem word contained in the document with a respective replacement word;

Page 3

after receiving the user edits, recording the contents of the document as post-edited contents;

comparing the pre-edited contents to the post-edited contents to identify the problem words and the replacement words; ~~and~~

storing the user-replaced problem words and replacement words to a first data structure, wherein each user-replaced problem word is associated with the respective replacement word in an individual record of the first data structure; and

determining and indicating one or more problem words in a second document utilizing the first data structure.

13.   (Currently Amended)   The computer readable medium of claim 12, wherein the steps of recording comprise separately storing the pre-edited contents and post-edited contents to a second data structure, wherein each record of the second data structure includes a pre-edited word field containing pre-edited content, a post-edited word field containing corresponding post-edited content and a changed indication field containing an indicator indicating whether the pre-edited and the corresponding post-edited content are different.

14.   (Canceled)

15.   (Currently Amended)   The computer readable medium of claim 13, wherein the operation further comprises ~~further comprising~~ assigning a priority value to each problem word.

16.   (Original)   The computer readable medium of claim 15, wherein the priority value is determined according to a number of times a particular problem word is replaced by the user with the respective replacement word.

17.   (Currently Amended)   The computer readable medium of claim 12 ~~further comprising~~, wherein the operation further comprises assigning a formatting definition to each problem word for use in identifying problem words on a display device.

Page 4

326905_1

18.   (Original)   The computer readable medium of claim 17, wherein the formatting definition is selected from one of a color, a shading, a textual modification, an underline and any combination thereof.

19.   (Canceled)

20.   (Canceled)

21.   (Currently Amended)   A computer comprising a memory device, a processor configured to access the memory device and configure to execute a method an operation for providing user-specific error analysis to identify as problem words any correctly spelled words of a document that are improperly used, the method operation comprising:

  recording contents of the document as pre-edited contents;

  receiving user edits replacing allowing a user to replace each problem word contained in the document with a respective replacement word;

  after receiving the user edits, recording the contents of the document as post-edited contents;

  comparing the pre-edited contents to the post-edited contents to identify the problem words and the replacement words; and

  storing the user-replaced problem words and replacement words to a first data structure, wherein each user-replaced problem word is associated with the respective replacement word in an individual record of the first data structure; and

  determining and indicating one or more problem words in a second document utilizing the first data structure.

22.   (Currently AmendedThe computer of claim 21, wherein the steps of recording comprise separately storing the pre-edited contents and post-edited contents to a second data structure, wherein each record of the second data structure includes a pre-edited word field containing pre-edited content, a post-edited word field containing

Page 5

326905_1

<u>corresponding post-edited content</u> and a changed indication field <u>containing an indicator</u> <u>indicating whether the pre-edited and the corresponding post-edited content are</u> <u>different</u>.

23.   (Canceled)

24.   (Currently Amended)   The computer of claim 22, ~~further comprising~~ <u>wherein</u> <u>the operation further comprises</u> assigning a priority value to each problem word.

25.   (Original)   The computer of claim 24, wherein the priority value is determined according to a number of times a particular problem word is replaced by the user with the respective replacement word.

26.   (Currently Amended)   The computer of claim 21 ~~further comprising~~, <u>wherein</u> <u>the operation further comprises</u> assigning a formatting definition to each problem word for use in identifying problem words on a display device.

27.   (Original)   The computer of claim 26, wherein the formatting definition is selected from one of a color, a shading, a textual modification, an underline and any combination thereof.

28.   (Previously Presented)   The method of claim 3, further comprising:
        receiving a user identification; and
        storing the user identification in association with the first data structure.

29.   (Currently Amended)   The computer readable medium of claim 12, <u>wherein</u> <u>the operation further comprises</u> ~~further comprising~~:
        receiving a user identification; and
        storing the user identification in association with the first data structure.

Page 6

326905_1

30. (Currently Amended)   The computer of claim 21, <u>wherein the operation further comprises</u> ~~further comprising~~:

    receiving a user identification; and

    storing the user identification in association with the first data structure.

31. (Currently Amended)   The method of claim [[3]] <u>6</u>, further comprising<u>:</u> ~~accessing the first data structure to identify problem words in another document~~

    <u>assigning a formatting definition to each priority level of problem words; and</u>

    <u>displaying problem words of the second document according to the respective</u> <u>formatting definition.</u>

32. (Currently Amended)   The computer readable medium of claim ~~12, further comprising accessing the first data structure to identify problem words in another document~~ <u>15, wherein the operation further comprises:</u>

    <u>assigning a formatting definition to each priority level of problem words; and</u>

    <u>displaying problem words of the second document according to the respective</u> <u>formatting definition.</u>

33. (Currently Amended)   The computer of claim ~~21, further comprising accessing the first data structure to identify problem words in another document~~ <u>24, wherein the operation further comprises:</u>

    <u>assigning a formatting definition to each priority level of problem words; and</u>

    <u>displaying problem words of the second document according to the respective</u> <u>formatting definition.</u>

326905_1